UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,483	05/21/2007	Tae-Won Son	CS4-003	8689	
21567 WELLS ST. JO	7590 08/19/2008 <b>DHN P.S</b> .		EXAMINER		
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE SPOKANE, WA 99201		00	SOROUSH, LAYLA		
SPORAINE, WA	4 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/19/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Commons		Application	ication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/567,48	33	SON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		LAYLA SO	ROUSH	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Pr Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply eventually the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no ever incoming the control of the cont	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Of	5 May 2008						
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 May 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		objected to by the I	Examiner.				
,			-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Office Action is in response to the Applicant's reply filed May 5, 2008 to the Office Action mailed on January 23, 2008.

Applicant's arguments over the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 1-8 of Son et al. (US 2005/0037079)) is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is herewith withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments over the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 1-8 over Kross (US 6,664,301) is not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is herewith maintained. The rejections of record are restated below for Applicant's convenience.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kross (US 6,664,301).

Kross teaches cosmetic hydrogels containing gelling agents such as carrageenan, xanthan gum, locust bean gum gellan and/or agar. See col. 5, lines 37-40. The hydrogels contain 0.1-45% of glycols such as propylene glycol and/or glycerin, and other functional ingredients such as preservatives, alpha-hydroxy acids, collagen, peptides, herbal extracts (e.g. aloe vera) and water. See col. 4, lines 64-67; col. 6-7; Example 1. The hydrogels of Kross are useful for hydrating the skin. See col. 5, lines 5-

Application/Control Number: 10/567,483

Art Unit: 1617

14. The hydrogels of Kross are prepared by (a) mixing the gelling agents and dispersing the mixture in the mixture of polyols and preservative and then adding water to 100%; (b) heating the mixture to 82-85°C and mixing it; and (c) cooling the resulting gel. See Examples 1, 4-6. With respect to the limitation "hydrogel is transformed into a fluid state at 10-500 C", while the reference is silent on that, it clearly teaches the claimed combination of the gelling agents and the fact that the hydrogels are used to hydrate the skin as discusses above. While generally teaching the concentration of the ingredients and exemplifying the claimed concentrations of polyols and water (see col. 7, lines 10-33; Examples), the reference does not explicitly teach the claimed concentration of the gelation polymers and additives. However, determination of optimal or workable concentrations of the ingredients by routine experimentation is obvious absent showing of criticality of the claimed concentrations. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain the desired gelling properties of the composition as well as the desired cosmetic effect. With respect to Claim 8, the reference does not teach the exact order in which the ingredients are added. However, this is an obvious modification of the prior art. It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kross such that to add plant extracts and other cosmetic additives (e.g. alpha-hydroxy acids or vitamins) as the last step of the process in order to protect such additives from prolonged exposure to high temperatures and thus, preserve the thermolabile active ingredients.

Page 3

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2008 have been fully considered. The response to the arguments is as discussed below:

Applicant argues "noweher does Kross indicated any conversion of the hydrogel to a fluid state. Examiner respectfully reiterates: with respect to the limitation "hydrogel is transformed into a fluid state at 10-5<sup>0</sup>0 C", while the reference is silent on that, it clearly teaches the claimed combination of the gelling agents and that the hydrogels are used to hydrate the skin as discusses above.

Additionally applicant argues, the ranges of concentrations recited are critical for proper gel formation and to impart the ability to transform to a fluid state at 10-50°C. Examiner states that unless a comparison is made with disclosure identical (not similar) with that of the reference, Applicant's argument comparing applicant's results with those of the prior art have no probative value.

Applicant's argument over claims 2-6 rejections depends on the validity of the previous arguments which were not found persuasive.

The arguments are not persuasive and the rejection is made **FINAL**.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1617

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Conclusion

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Layla Soroush whose telephone number is (571)272-5008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/567,483 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617